KIEV ARBITRATION DAYS 2017: THINK BIG!

Second Session:

Where is your/my evidence?

Disclosure and how not to put your cards on the table

Carita Wallgren-Lindholm

3 November 2017

LINDHOLM WALLGREN

- 1. Topic and its analysis;
- Relevant players and their perspective: Claimant, Respondent, Arbitrators;
- Legal cultures and techniques for the presentation of written evidence (all related docs vs. matters in dispute);
- Background docs for context/atmospheric docs/evidencing matters in dispute/admissibility;

- 5. Timeline (for both sides);
- Voluntary/semi-voluntary/involuntary disclosure (incl. access to national courts);
- 7. Relevance of seat, *lex arbitri* (e.g. Sweden);
- 8. Burden of proof;

- 9. Relevance of arbitrator identity;
- 10. Your "litigant's profile": strategy/maintaining focus/credibility (up-front vs. piecemeal and extent of disclosure)/ user friendliness;
- 11. Many acts by Counsel will be evaluated against this backdrop (e.g. credibility of refusals);

12. Soft law:

- IBA Rules on the Taking of Evidence in International Arbitration (2010)
- IBA Guidelines on Party Representation in International Arbitration (Section 12: Information Exchange and Disclosure) (2013)
- 13. Deontological rules applicable to Counsel and asymmetry;

- 14. Briefly on confidentiality, legal privilege and business secrets (and relevant enactments);
- 15. Solving the dispute put before the arbitrators or finding the truth (degrees of necessary inquisition/intellectual curiosity/justice being served).